		Case 1:07-cr-00098-SLR Do	cument 10	Filed 07/17/2007	Page 1 of 2	
⊗ AC	472	2 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial				
		UNITED STA	TES DIST	RICT COURT		
			District of	De	elaware	
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Carl Wayne Wilson, Jr.			Case	07-985LR		
		Defendant ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), n of the defendant pending trial in this case.	a detention hearin	g has been held. I conclude t	hat the following facts require the	
			—Findings of l			
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)					
	(1)	1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
	(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
	(1)	After There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	rnative Findings	(B)		
		There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger t		er person or the community.		
					JUL 172007	
					Nicota State	
				R.	None and the property of the parties of the property of the parties of the partie	

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence: Defendant was detained because there are no conditions or combination thereof that will reasonable assure his appearance as required and the safety of the community. Defendant is charged with child porn offense for which the rebuttable presumption applies. Defendant has not rebutted that presumption and the evidence against defendant is substantial in support of the offense, which supports the issue of danger to the community.

- 1. Defendant refused to be interviewed by Pretrial Services until he spoke with an attorney which is his right, but as a result the court has no confirmed informatin regarding his background.
- 2. Criminal history is primarily traffic offense, but defendant has a 2006 offensive touching conviction involving his girlfriend.
- 3. At the time of this incident, he resided with his parents (while the present offenses occurred).
- 4. Defendant's former employment which he lost as a result of the present charges involved working with computers.

Infundant dod met could be detention at this line, but reserved the right to do so in the fiture which was sported.

Part III-Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

July 17, 2007

Date

Signature of Jadio at Officer
Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).